UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,601	08/24/2006	Sabine Balthasar	RO4304US (#90568)	8967
	7590 01/31/201 CHBERG CO. L.P.A.	EXAMINER		
1940 EAST 6T	H STREET	WHEELER, THURMAN MICHAEL		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CER 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a raply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 123). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 September 0201. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If you provide the provide is the provide of 17 of 17 of 17 of 17 of 18 of	Office Action Comments	10/590,601	BALTHASAR ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercise of their reply be saliated used to a provision of 37 CPR 1.18(3), finn event, increase, may seight be simply like at the replace of the correspondence and state of the control of the seight of the	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETTO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - after 8X (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO pariot for reply is specified above, the maining date of this communication or requirement of the part of the communication or requirement of the part of the part of the communication of the communication of the part of t							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor the remap be written under the provided of the communication of 37 FR1.13(6), in ne went, however, may a pepty be fitted by the fitted after SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing called of the communication, and imports SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing called of the communication and imports SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing date of the communication and imports SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing date of the communication and imports SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing date of the communication and imports SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing date of the communication and imports SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seated patch to the mailing date of life communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seated patch to the mailing date of life communication. **Possible Times** **Possibl	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3ction is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3ction is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.2.4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a Of the above claim(s) 6-14.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1.2.4-5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1.2.4-5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1.2.4-5 and 16	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) to objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) to objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	eptember 0201.					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)		·					
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4	<i>'</i>		secution as to the merits is				
A) Solition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2.4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paferences Cled (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Faport *V4(s)M/Moll Date. Fapo	,						
4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application Feper *Ve(sh** All Dode	· ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s) Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Spaper No(s) Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Capar No(s) Mail Date	 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Faper No(s) Mail Date	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Topar No(s) Nail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Char	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Char:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Nail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Cther	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Nail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Cther							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Nail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Cther	Add at mount (a)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Nail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Cther:							
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

Art Unit: 1619

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 2, 4-14 and 16-18 are pending

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 09/07/2010 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 6-14, 17 and 18 are withdrawn.
- 3. Claim 1 has been amended.
- 4. Herein, claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 16 are for further prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole

Art Unit: 1619

would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining differences between the prior art and claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreuter et al ((WO 02089776) where USP 2004/0131692 is used as English equivalent of PCT/EP2002/004735.

Citations are to the English equivalent document, of record) and Paganelli (EPO 049607, 1992) and Langer (European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 1999, IDS).

Applicants claimed invention is directed to a carrier system for the cell-specific, intracellular enrichment of at

Application/Control Number: 10/590,601

Art Unit: 1619

least one pharmacologically active substance, wherein said carrier system is present in the form of protein-based nanoparticles to which biotinylated antibodies are bound, wherein said nanoparticles are based on at least one protein selected from the group consisting of gelatine and serum albumin, and said biotinylated antibodies are bound by forming a stable avidin-biotin complex with avidin which is covalently bound to the nanoparticles by bifunctional spacer molecules which are attached to reactive groups present on the surface of the nanoparticles; and wherein said antibodies enable a cell-specific attachment and cellular absorption of the nanoparticles.

Kreuter teaches nanoparticles comprising proteins, e.g. gelatine ([0009]; [0024], claim 2; claim 13; claim 23) and human serum albumin ([0001]; [0007-8]; [0023]; [0035]; claim 2; claim 13; claim 23) coupled with antibodies ([0012]; claim 5).

Kreuter teaches in a preferred embodiment, the inventive nanoparticles have covalently coupled avidin, via which biotinylated apolipoprotein E can be bound as is illustrated in FIG. 1. Avidin itself is a glycoprotein which is highly affine to biotin and is covalently bound via the aforementioned bifunctional spacer molecules to the thiol groups of the thiolated nanoparticles. By the covalent linkage of the avidin to the nanoparticles it is not only possible to bind biotinylated ApoE, which is necessary for the transport to the blood-brain barrier, but also to bind a variety of biotinylated molecules to the avidin-modified nanoparticles in a particularly

Application/Control Number: 10/590,601

Art Unit: 1619

efficient manner. For this purpose, pharmacologically or biologically active molecules are especially preferred [0013].

Further, Kreuter teaches to impart pharmacologic effects, pharmacologically or biologically active substances are incorporated in the nanoparticles, or they are bound by the nanoparticles, where the binding of the active agents may be performed covalently, with complex-formation via the avidin-biotin system, as well as incorporatively or adsorptively ([0014]; claim 8; claim 9, claim 10).

Kreuter teaches amino groups, carboxyl groups, and hydroxyl groups located on the surface of the nanoparticles can be converted by suitable reagents to reactive thiol groups, where functional proteins are bound to the thiol group-modified nanoparticles via bifunctional spacer molecules having reactivity both to amino groups and free thiol groups [0011]. The functional proteins to be coupled to the nanoparticles are selected from the group comprising avidin, avidin derivatives, apolipoproteins such as apolipoprotein E, and also antibodies [0012].

However, the Kreuter reference does not explicitly embody using biotinylated monoclonal antibodies bound by a stable avidin-biotin complex.

Paganelli teaches a biotinylated monoclonal antibody, or

Art Unit: 1619

biotinylated fragments thereof, specific to a tumour-associated antigen expressed by the tumor, wherein a protein of the avidin type binds to biotin. Furthermore, Paganelli teaches a biotinylated monoclonal antibody is administered to a patient, such that avidin is and subsequently administered that specifically binds to the biotinylated monoclonal antibody (col.2, lns.15-32; col.4, lns.21-40).

Langer teaches the preparation of avidin-labeled protein nanoparticles as carriers for biotinylated peptide nucleic acid. Langer teaches preparing protein nanoparticles followed by covalent linkage of avidin, wherein free sulfhydryl groups were introduced onto the surface of protein nanoparticles. The number of primary amino groups and sulfhydryl groups on the surface of the resulting particles was quantified with site- specific reagents. Further, avidin was attached to the surface of the thiolated nanoparticles via a bifunctional spacer. Biotinylated peptide nucleic acid (PNA) was effectively coupled to the nanoparticles by complex formation with the covalently attached avidin (see Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the nanoparticles as taught by Kreuter to include biotinylated monoclonal antibodies that are complexed with avidin, because Kreuter teaches that a variety of

Art Unit: 1619

biotinylated molecules can be complexed to the avidin-modified nanoparticles in an efficient manner. Furthermore, Paganelli explicitly teaches that a biotinylated monoclonal antibody can be complexed to a protein of the avidin type. One would have been motivated to provide a biotinylated monoclonal antibody, since it can be used to specifically target a tumour-associated antigen expressed by a tumor. Additionally, one skilled in the art would have recognized that other biotinylated molecules such as peptide nucleic acids could also be complexed to nanoparticles via an avidin complex as taught Langer. Thus, one skilled in the art would have recognized that a biotinylated monoclonal antibody could also be complexed to the nanoparticle as taught by Kreuter for purpose of targeting the nanoparticle to a tumor site.

One skilled in the art at the time of the invention would have had a reasonable expectation of success to provide a nanoparticle as claimed by Applicants by following the teachings of Kreuter, Paganelli and anger, as a whole.

Conclusions

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 16 are rejected.

Art Unit: 1619

Applicants' Arguments

7. Applicants argue that Kreuter fails to teach nanoparticles having biotinylated antibodies bound to avidin moieties which are coupled to the nanoparticle surface via bifunctional spacer molecules.

Applicants' arguments filed 07 September 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of the new grounds of rejection, which describes why it would be prima facie obvious to modify the nanoparticles as taught by Kreuter in order to include biotinylated monoclonal antibody complexed via avidin to the nanoparticles.

8. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thurman Wheeler whose telephone number is (571)270-1307. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Wax can be reached (571)272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tracy Vivlemore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635